

TYPE APPROVAL ASSESSMENT REPORT

Date of issue: 2021-08-24

Particulars of Assessment

Type of Assessment:	🗌 Initial 🛛 Periodical 🔲 Renewal				
Company applying for Type Approval:	REIKU GmbH				
Company Address:	Wiehl				
Product Type	PARAB & PARNB conduit with PAHOB system supports, PAGOB & VPGRB straight connectors, VPBRB 90 degree elbow, T & Y distributions				
Type Approval Certificate (TAC) No:	TAC) No: TAE000007F Revision No: 1				
Expiry Date:	2023-04-15				

Initial Assessment

Assessment Item	Yes	No	N/A	Remarks
General Items ¹				
Is product certification performed regularly and at least every 3 month?			\boxtimes	
Is an MSA established and retention assessments carried out at least annually?			\boxtimes	
Has the company a quality system certified to ISO 9001 or equivalent by an accredited body?			\boxtimes	
Checklist items				
Are procedures for inspection and control of deliveries from subcontractors and outsourced production established and followed?			\boxtimes	
Are procedures for quality control of the products during production established and followed?			\boxtimes	
Are procedures for final inspection and testing of the product established and followed?			\boxtimes	
Are inspection and test results recorded and documented properly?			\boxtimes	
Is production equipment functioning satisfactorily and control equipment calibrated?			\boxtimes	
Is production, testing and quality control performed by qualified personnel?			\boxtimes	
Is the production performed on the basis of the same design documentation that shall be the basis for the Type Approval?			\boxtimes	
Has the company the property rights of the design documentation?			\boxtimes	
Does the company take the sole responsibility for the complete product and its compliance to the requirements?			\boxtimes	
Is the product manufactured at other companies? If yes, the list of companies shall be attached to this report			\boxtimes	
Has the company established procedures that ensure required quality and consistent production at other manufacturers?			\boxtimes	
Is sufficient identification and traceability ensured through the marking of the product?			\boxtimes	
Has the company been informed about the difference between TA and product certification and the requirements for its product?			\boxtimes	

1	Initial assessment may be omitted if any of the general items are answered	"Yes" and if no other companies are allowed to manufacture the product
---	--	--



Assessment Item	Yes	No	N/A	Remarks
Has DNV received an updated asbestos free declaration (applicable for changes to the product, when adding new products to the TAC, when sub manufacturers or sub suppliers have changed and when renewing the TAC)?			\boxtimes	
Conclusion				
On the basis of the initial assessment, it is concluded that the company has a production line and quality control in operation for consistent production of the products for which TA is requested				

Renewal/Periodical Assessment

Assessment Item		No	N/A	Remarks
Checklist items				
Is the Type Approved documentation available at the company	\boxtimes			
Are any changes made to the design of the product that affects the TA?		\boxtimes		
Is the TA to be extended to include other products or product ranges?		\boxtimes		
Are additional documentation to be included in the Type Approval Certificate (TAC)?		\boxtimes		
Are new limitations to be included in the TAC?		\boxtimes		
Shall any company be deleted or added to the list of companies that are allowed to manufacture the product?		\boxtimes		
Is the established procedure for ensuring consistent production at other companies maintained and followed?	\square			
Has the company still a production line and quality control for consistent production of the products?				Ref. ISO 9001:2015 certificate no. 44 100 070577 / TÜV Nord
Are there any changes to the production line and/or quality control?		\boxtimes		
Is the TA documentation used as basis for the production of the products?	\boxtimes			
Is use of subcontractors handled in a controlled manner?	\boxtimes			
Is outsourcing of processes and production handled in a controlled manner?		\boxtimes		
Has the company received any claims w.r.t. the type approved products?		\boxtimes		
Has any claims w.r.t. the type approved products been handled properly?	\boxtimes			
Does the company still take the sole responsibility for the product?	\boxtimes			
Has randomly selected products from production or stock been examined and/or tested with satisfactory result (See TAC and/or TA programme)?	\boxtimes			Ref. Production line Quality control record as attached.
Is the product marking traceable to the TAC?	\bowtie			Ref. attached Cable protection picture.
Is the TAC information found on the DNV Approval Finder Internet site correct?	\boxtimes			
Has the company been informed about any new requirements applicable for the product?	\boxtimes			
Shall it for any reason be considered to suspend the TAC?		\bowtie		
Conclusion				
On the basis of the periodical assessment, it is concluded that the conditions for the Type Approval are not altered since the certificate was issued.				



Issued at Essen on 2021-08-24



for **DNV**

This document has been digitally signed and will therefore not have handwritten signatures

Ahn, Jae Hyung Surveyor